

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

Judge Robert W. Schroeder III

LONE STAR TECHNOLOGICAL	§	
INNOVATIONS, LLC	§	
	§	
V.	§	CIVIL NO. 6:19cv59
	§	
ASUS COMPUTER, INC., et al	§	

---

MINUTES FOR MARKMAN AND MOTIONS HEARING  
HELD BEFORE JUDGE ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III  
JUNE 3, 2020

OPEN: 10:06 a.m.

ADJOURN: 2:29 p.m.

---

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF: Bradley Liddle and Minghui Yang

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS: Ed Runyon, Charles Ainsworth and Andrew Oliver

TECHNICAL ADVISOR: Scott Woloson

LAW CLERK: Kain Day

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Betty Schroeder

COURT REPORTER: Kate McAlpine

---

10:06 a.m. Case called, parties introduce themselves and announce ready, Court welcomes parties and gives preliminary comments

10:10 a.m. Mr. Andrew Oliver argues Defendants' Motion to Strike (dkt. #86) - construction of "viewer"

10:15 a.m. Mr. Brad Liddle responds

10:17 a.m. Court questions Mr. Oliver; Mr. Oliver responds

10:20 a.m. Mr. Oliver argues the Exhibit 2 portion of the Motion to Strike; Court questions Mr. Oliver; Mr. Oliver responds

10:23 a.m. Mr. Liddle responds

10:25 a.m. Mr. Oliver replies

10:26 a.m. Mr. Oliver argues the Exhibit 5 portion of the Motion to Strike

10:29 a.m. Mr. Liddle responds

10:31 a.m. Mr. Oliver replies

10:32 a.m. Mr. Oliver argues the technology tutorial portion of the Motion to Strike

10:33 a.m. Court denies the Motion to Strike

10:36 a.m. Mr. Oliver responds; Court responds

10:36 a.m. Court comments regarding the preliminary instructions provided to the parties before the hearing

10:39 a.m. Mr. Oliver and Mr. Liddle advise the Court of the terms to be argued

10:41 a.m. Mr. Liddle argues the term “individual color”

10:46 a.m. Mr. Oliver responds

10:51 a.m. Mr. Liddle replies

10:53 a.m. Mr. Ed Runyon argues “Claim 17, Indefiniteness”

11:08 a.m. Mr. Liddle responds

11:17 a.m. Mr. Runyon replies

11:19 a.m. Mr. Liddle responds

11:21 a.m. Mr. Liddle argues the term “input image pixel”

11:24 a.m. Court questions Mr. Liddle; Mr. Liddle responds

11:25 a.m. Mr. Oliver responds

11:25 a.m. Mr. Runyon argues the term “viewer”

11:30 a.m. Mr. Liddle responds

11:33 a.m. Mr. Runyon replies

11:35 a.m. Mr. Liddle responds; Court questions Mr. Liddle; Mr. Liddle responds

11:39 a.m. Mr. Oliver argues the term “characterizing”

11:43 a.m. Mr. Liddle responds

11:46 a.m. Mr. Oliver replies

11:48 a.m. Mr. Liddle argues the term “without affecting the hue or the saturation of any other individual color”

11:51 a.m. Mr. Oliver responds

11:52 a.m. Mr. Liddle replies

11:53 a.m. Court questions Mr. Oliver; Mr. Oliver responds

11:58 a.m. Court questions Mr. Oliver; Mr. Oliver responds

12:02 p.m. Recess

1:34 p.m. Mr. Runyon argues the term “evaluated/evaluating”

1:38 p.m. Mr. Liddle responds

1:40 p.m. Mr. Runyon replies

1:41 p.m. Mr. Liddle responds

1:42 p.m. Mr. Runyon replies

1:43 p.m. Mr. Oliver argues the term “by performing arithmetic and logical operations”

1:49 p.m. Court questions Mr. Oliver; Mr. Oliver responds

1:50 p.m. Mr. Liddle responds

1:54 p.m. Mr. Oliver replies

1:57 p.m. Mr. Liddle responds

1:59 p.m. Mr. Runyon advises the Court they will rely on the briefing for the term “forming a corresponding plurality of output image pixels having said selected individual

color

2:00 p.m.	Mr. Liddle responds
2:00 p.m.	Mr. Runyon replies
2:01 p.m.	Mr. Liddle and Mr. Runyon advise the Court they will rely on their briefing regarding the term “arbitrary interval of integers”
2:02 p.m.	Mr. Runyon argues the terms for the ESI Order (dkt. #93)
2:04 p.m.	Court questions Mr. Runyon; Mr. Runyon responds
2:06 p.m.	Mr. Runyon continues with his argument
2:07 p.m.	Mr. Liddle responds to argument
2:09 p.m.	Mr. Runyon replies
2:10 p.m.	Court requests the parties to discuss these issues while here
2:12 p.m.	Recess
2:27 p.m.	Mr. Liddle advises the Court the disputes have been resolved involving the ESI Order; Mr. Runyon comments; Court advises the parties to submit an agreed Order
2:29 p.m.	Recess